

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary

Application No.

09/529,672

Applicant(s)

CORNILS ET AL.

Examiner

Richard Crispino

Art Unit

1734

All Participants:

(1) Richard Crispino.

(2) Seth A. Watkins.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 18 August 2003

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

None

Claims discussed:

None

Prior art documents discussed:

DE 43 26 179


Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The examiner called to discuss the request for suspension filed with the RCE; the request had not been acted on and the application had been allowed. Mr. Watkins indicated the reason for the request was to obtain a translation of DE 43 26 179 for the examiner's consideration - it was noted this German language reference was previously made of record. The examiner requested a faxed copy of the translation. A review of the translation by the examiner of record (Mark Eashoo) indicated a rejection of at least some of the claims (previously indicated as allowable) would be appropriate. On 8/19/03, the examiner called Mr. Watkins to inform him the application would be withdrawn from issue and a new action outlining the rejections would be mailed..